

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,661	02/23/2004	N. David Crow	BIT 203-01	2676
Christopher A.	7590 09/13/200 Wiklof	7	EXAMINER	
3531 99th St. S	E		LEWIS, RALPH A	
Everett, WA 98	3208		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/785,661	CROW, N. DAVID			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a reposite apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT 36(a). Cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ag     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-5,7-11,13-15 and 28-40 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-5,7-11,13-15, 28-40 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  acceeding a control of the drawing and the correct that any objection to the description.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 3732

## Indication of Allowability Withdrawn

The indicated allowability of claims 1-11, 13-15 and 28-40 in the office action of 10/18/2006 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Baker (US 3.464.115). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Rejections based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7-11, 13, 14, 28, 31-34 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker (US 3,464,115).

Baker discloses an apparatus for measuring a patient's bite comprised of a mount 34 (figure 2) for removable attachment to mounting point 38, a mechanical coupling 40, 31, 32, 26, 12, 15 coupled to the mount 34 and an articulating film holder 50, 51 coupled to the holder that allows for lateral adjustment to match the width of the patient's dental arches. In regard to the "film" limitation, the examiner is of two positions. First, the wax blocks 16 and 17 which attach at 57, 58 record the position of the patient's teeth and meet the vague "film" limitation. Secondly, it is noted that "film" is not positively claimed as part of the invention, consequently even if one were to

Application/Control Number: 10/785,661

Art Unit: 3732

interpret the language as requiring a flat thin sheet of recording material, the examiner is of the position that the Baker prongs 57 and 58 are <u>capable</u> of holding a flat thin sheet of recording material. In regard to claim 7, note Figure 4. In regard to claim 14, elements 50 and 51 meet the broad "forceps" limitation, or in the alternative are <u>capable</u> of receiving a pair of unclaimed forceps.

In regard to claim 28, note nose posts 60, 61 of Baker that run parallel with the patient's nose, rod holder 45 and spreader 56, 47, 50, 51. It is noted in general that it would help applicant to define over the prior art if applicant would set forth the structure of elements rather than just naming them (e.g. "nose post").

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5, 15, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker (US 3,464,115) in view of Evans (US 1,052,806).

In Baker, the measuring apparatus is fixed to the patient by indexing stubs which rest in a patient's ears, Evans, however, for a similar measuring device teaches that it is desirable to attach such a measuring mechanism to the frame of a pair of glasses 1. to have merely provided Baker with an attachment 23, 24, 25 as taught by Evans so that the Baker device could be attached to a pair of glass frames rather than stubs which are

Application/Control Number: 10/785,661

Art Unit: 3732

to be inserted into the patient's ears would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Page 4

the art.

Claims 29, 30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Baker (US 3,464,115).

In regard to claims 29 and 30, to have replaced the coupling joint of the Baker

measuring device with a conventional prior art coupling joint would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art as a matter of routine. In regard to claim 30, the use of

a common spring to help bias the jaw members 50 and 51 of Baker would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to assist in the tightening mechanism.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dusiness ocine (EDO) at 000-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number (571) 272-4712. Fax (571) 273-8300. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Cris Rodriguez, can be reached at (571) 272-4964.

R.Lewis August 22, 2007

Ralph A. Lewis Primary Examiner

Au3732